

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problems Mailbox.**

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(21) Application number: 86300065.9

(51) Int. Cl.: **A 21 D 2/26**
A 21 D 2/16

(22) Date of filing: 07.01.86

(30) Priority: 12.01.85 JP 3733/85

(43) Date of publication of application:
23.07.86 Bulletin 86/30

(64) Designated Contracting States:
BE DE FR GB NL

(71) Applicant: **KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO., LTD.**
Ohtemachi Bldg., 6-1 Ohtemachi 1-chome
Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100(JP)

(72) Inventor: Inoue, Seiji
4845-4, Ami Ami-machi
Inashiki-gun Ibaraki-ken(JP)

(72) Inventor: Ohta, Shigenori
3-6-17, Iwatokita
Komae-shi Tokyo(JP)

(72) Inventor: Egl, Makoto
3-6-6, Asahi-machi
Machida-shi Tokyo(JP)

(74) Representative: Lambert, Hugh Richmond et al,
D. YOUNG & CO. 10 Staple Inn
London, WC1V 7RD(GB)

(54) Dough Improver.

(57) A dough improver for improving the quality of bread, cake and the like baked products, contains a complex of a vital gluten and an emulsifier. The proportion of emulsifier in the complex to the dough improver is 1 to 20%, and the complex is obtained by mixing a vital gluten with a hydrated emulsifier in such a proportion that the water content in the mixture is in the range of from 10 to 35%, and allowing the mixture to stand.

DOUGH IMPROVER

The present invention relates to dough improvers, i.e. compositions for improving the bread-making performance of a flour dough. More particularly, the present invention relates to dough improvers obtained by mixing vital gluten and an emulsifier.

5 U.S. Patent Specification US-A-3,362,829 discloses that powdered vital gluten, if mixed at 68 to 70 °C with an emulsifier that is in liquid phase, shows excellent dispersibility in water.

Since mixing is conducted in the absence of water in this method, the emulsifier does not complex with gluten protein (U.S. Patent Specification
10 US-A-4,200,569), and hence it would not be expected to substantially improve food quality.

U.S. Patent Specification US-A-3,880,824 discloses that powder of vital gluten, if mixed with an emulsifier in an inert organic solvent, shows excellent dispersibility in water.

Since the emulsifier in this case also does not complex with gluten protein (US-A-4,200,569, above), it would not be expected to substantially improve food quality.

5 U.S. Patent Specification US-A-4,035,519 discloses that the vital gluten obtained by mixing wet gluten (water content: 45 to 65%) at 45 to 65 °C with an emulsifier that is in liquid phase, followed by drying, disperses in water more readily and shows slightly better bread-quality-improving effect compared with ordinary vital gluten.

10 The product obtained by this method is no more than emulsified gluten, with no complex being formed between the emulsifier and gluten protein (US-A-4,200,569, above). In addition, since a mass of wet gluten (water content: 45 to 65%) is used as starting material, intimate mixing with an emulsifier is very difficult, requiring, in commercial production, special equipment and huge energy outlays.

15 Japanese Published Unexamined Patent Application JP-A-102148/82 discloses that powder of vital gluten with good dispersibility in water can be obtained by intimately mixing wet gluten (water content: 50 to 80%) with a phospholipid-containing compound, followed by drying and pulverization.

20 Although this method is effective in improving the dispersibility of the dough in water, no marked effect can be expected for improving the food quality, particularly the breadmaking quality.

US-A-4,200,569 (above) discloses that complexes between gluten protein and anionic emulsifiers show excellent film-forming properties and serve as dough improvers in breadmaking. The complexes of this type are obtained by reaction of gliadin (substantially in solution) with an anionic emulsifier, or by mixing wet gluten (water content: 60% or higher) with a hydrated anionic emulsifier under vacuum. This method requires mixing under vacuum and is not advantageous economically. Furthermore, as the specification states, the effective emulsifier is limited only to anionic emulsifiers such as sodium dodecylsulfate, diacetyltartaric acid ester of monoglyceride and palmitoyl-L-aspartic acid ester, and no complex can be formed from the other anionics (e.g., calcium stearyl-lactylate and the succinic acid monoglyceride esters and nonionics (e.g., fatty acid esters of sucrose, and monoglycerides). Sodium dodecylsulfate, which is the most effective emulsifier, is not used in food processing. Hence, there are limitations upon the use to which this patent may be applied on a commercial basis.

According to the present invention, an excellent dough improver containing a complex of a vital gluten and an emulsifier, and in which the proportion by weight of emulsifier in the complex (hereinafter referred to as bound emulsifier) to the dough improver is 1 to 20%, is obtained by mixing a vital gluten with a hydrated emulsifier in such a proportion that the water content in the resulting mixture is in the range of from 10 to 35%, and allowing the mixture to stand. Such dough improvers constitute another aspect of the present invention.

Commercial dry or wet gluten is used as the vital gluten in the present invention. Anionic and nonionic emulsifiers are used as emulsifiers.

Examples of anionic emulsifiers include calcium stearyl-lactylate, sodium stearyl-lactylate, diacetyltartaric acid monoglyceride esters, succinic acid monoglyceride esters and citric acid monoglyceride esters. Typical examples of the nonionic emulsifiers include fatty acid esters of sucrose. These emulsifiers are used either alone or in combination. The emulsifier is used in the form of a hydrate to accelerate the reaction of the gluten protein with the emulsifier.

10 A hydrated emulsifier is obtained by adding water to the emulsifier (at 50 - 70 °C) to a concentration of 5 to 20% (W/W) and stirring the mixture at a temperature of 50 - 70 °C while maintaining the pH at 5 to 7. A homogenizer or the like can if necessary be used to ensure forced hydration. The dough improver of the present invention is preferably
15 obtained as described below by using a hydrated emulsifier prepared as described above.

A 5 to 20% (W/W) of hydrated emulsifier (the percentage represents the concentration of emulsifier in its hydrated form, and this applies throughout the specification and claims) is added to dry vital gluten, or to a
20 mixture of dry vital gluten with a wet vital gluten, in such a proportion that the water content in the resulting mixture is in the range 10 to 35%, and the mixture is allowed to stand at 20 to 70 °C, preferably 40 to 60 °C, for from 5 to 30 minutes, followed, if necessary, by freeze-drying or flash-drying. The dough improver thus obtained contains

1 to 30%, preferably 5 to 20%, of emulsifier on a dry basis. When the emulsifier concentration is to be further enhanced, this procedure is accomplished by pulverizing a dry product, further adding 5 to 20% hydrated emulsifier to the pulverized product, and treating the mixture in the same manner as above.

The dough improver obtained by the method described above contains a complex of a vital gluten and an emulsifier. The proportion of emulsifier in the complex to the dough improver is 1 to 20%. The dough improver of the present invention is added to dough in an amount of 0.5 to 10% on a dry basis of wheat flour.

The analytical and testing methods used in the present invention are described below.

15

(1) Analysis of free and bound emulsifier

Lipids are generally classified into two types by the state in which they exist in living bodies: bound lipids, which complex with protein, and free lipids, which do not complex. The latter can be extracted with a non-polar organic solvent, while the former cannot be extracted with a nonpolar organic solvent but can be extracted with a polar organic solvent. In the present invention, the emulsifier which can be extracted from dough improvers with chloroform is defined as "free emulsifier"; the emulsifier which can be extracted with

chloroform-methanol mixed solvent (2 : 1 by volume) is taken as "total emulsifier", and the amount of bound emulsifier is calculated by the following equation [Y. Pomeranz, "WHEAT; Chemistry and Technology (92nd edition)", p. 393 - 395, published from American Association of Cereal Chemists]:

$$\text{Bound Emulsifier} = \text{Total Emulsifier} - \text{Free Emulsifier}$$

Analytical methods adopted are hereinafter described.

(a) Free emulsifier

A dough improver of the present invention (20.0 g) was accurately weighed and extracted with 200 ml of chloroform at room temperature for 20 minutes with mechanical agitation. The extract was suction-filtered through a filter paper (Toyo Filter Paper No. 50), and the residue was again extracted with 200 ml of chloroform in the same manner as above. The two filtrates were combined and the combined solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dried at 60 to 70°C until chloroform was substantially removed from the residue. An aliquot sample of chloroform extract was taken and its total nitrogen was measured by the micro Kjeldahl method. The amount of protein contained was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen by the coefficient, 5.7. The amount of free

emulsifier was obtained by subtracting the amount of protein from the total amount of extract.

(b) Total emulsifier


5 Analyzed in the same manner as in (a) above, except that a chloroform-methanol mixed solvent (2 : 1 by volume) was used as solvent in place of chloroform.

(2) Breadmaking test

10 Sample bread was made by the 70% sponge and dough method, using 2%, based on the weight of wheat flour, of a dough improver of the present invention. The amount of water added to the sponge formulation was 252 ml when no dough improver was used, and 264 ml when the dough improver was used.

15 The specific volume of raised bread was measured by the rapeseed displacement method, and the crumb grain was evaluated by organoleptic examination. Degree of staleness was measured using Baker's Compressimeter after two days' standing at 25°C and expressed by relative
20 value, with the value of control sample (no dough improver added) taken as 100.

Sponge Materials



9
Dividing (450 g)

0188318

↓
Bench Time (Room temperature, 15 minutes) ..

↓
Moulding and Panning

5

↓
Proofing (40°C, 85%RH, 1.5 cm above center of pan)

↓
Baking (220°C, 25 minutes)

(3) Cake making test

10

Sponge cakes were made according to commonly used formulation method (egg : soft wheat flour : sugar = 1 : 1 : 1). The dough improver was added in an amount of 5% based on the weight of flour. The amount of water added was 105 ml when no dough improver was added, and 120 ml when the dough improver was added.

15

The specific volume of cakes was measured by the rapeseed displacement method. The crumb grain and texture were evaluated by organoleptic examinations. The softness of the crumb was measured after 24 hours' standing at 15°C by using a creepmeter (Yamaden, Model RE-3305).

20

25

Whole egg	300 g
Refined sugar	300 g
Liquid sugar	45 g
Foaming agent	15 g
Salt	1.35 g
Water	105 ml of 120 ml

Mixing (Kanto-mixer Model C-10; wire whipper used)

↓
{ Soft wheat flour 300 g
Baking powder 6 g
Dough improver of this invention 15 g
(on dry basis)

Mixing (Kanto-mixer Model C-10, wire-whipper used)

↓
Panning (420 g)

↓
Baking (180°C, 40 minutes)

Examples and Reference Examples are shown below.

Example 1

In this example, 3.75 g of sodium stearyl-
lactylate was added to 32.25 ml of water (60°C) and an
aqueous dispersion (pH: 4.6) was obtained. After adjusting
the pH to 6.31 by addition of 1.5 ml of 2N-NaOH solution
the mixture was stirred at 60°C for 20 minutes to prepare
10% hydrate of sodium stearyl-lactylate. Then, 37.5 g of
the hydrate was put to a mixer bowl containing 150 g of
commercial vital gluten (water content: 7.7%) and held at
50°C. The mixture was agitated (Shinagawa Kogyo's Universal
Mixer/Agitator Model 5DMr; anchor-shape hook used; 65 rpm
x 2 minutes and 126 rpm x 8 minutes) until a homogeneous
mixture was obtained (water content: 24.4%). The mixture
was freeze-dried, and the dried product was crushed to a
particle size finer than 42 mesh. The powder was further

11
added to 37.5 g of 10% hydrated sodium st aroyl-lactylate,
and the mixture was freeze-dried and pulverized in the
same manner as above.

The above-mentioned procedure wherein to the
5 resultant powder was added 37.5 g of 10% hydrated sodium
stearoyl-lactylate and powdered through lyophilization was
repeated once more. Totally, 112.5 g of 10% hydrated
sodium stearoyl-lactylate was added. Thus, 154 grams of dough
improver A containing 7.0% sodium stearoyl-lactylate
10 (water content: 4.6%) was obtained. The dough improver contained
6.7% total emulsifier, 3.5% free emulsifier, and 3.2% bound
emulsifier (emulsifier binding rate: 48%).

Example 2

15 Experiments were conducted in a similar manner
to Example 1, except that emulsifiers listed in Table 1
were used.

As shown in Table 1, 15% hydrated emulsifier was
added in two partitions or portions (water content: 23.4% for the
20 first mixture, and 19.0% for the second), and 7.5% hydrated
emulsifier was added in four partitions (water content:
24.9% for the first mixture, and 20.4% for the second to
the fourth), each giving a dough improver containing about 7.0%
emulsifier. The results are shown in Table 1.

25

Table 1

Dough Improver	B	C	D	E	F
Emulsifier	Ca stearoyl-lactylate	Diacetyltartaric acid ester of monoglyceride	Succinic acid ester of monoglyceride	Citric acid ester of monoglyceride	Fatty acid sugar ester
Trade Name of Emulsifier	Very (Mushino Chemical)	Poem W-10 (Riken Vitamin)	Poem B-10 (Riken Vitamin)	Poem K-37 (Riken Vitamin)	S-1570 (Mitsubishi Kasel Food)
Hydrated Emulsifier:					
Composition					
Emulsifier (g)	2.81	5.63	3.75	5.63	2.81
Water (ml)	32.39	31.87	31.75	29.97	34.69
2N-NaOH (ml)	2.3	9.0	2.0	1.9	0
Emulsifier (w/w%)	7.5	15.0	10.0	15.0	7.5
pH before adjustment	4.3	1.6	2.7	2.3	6.2
pH after adjustment	6.0	6.1	6.2	6.1	-
Number of addition	4	2	3	2	4
Vital Gluten (g)	150	150	150	150	150
Dough Improver:					
Yield (g)	149	153	156	153	149
Water content (%)	3.1	4.2	5.4	4.2	2.7
Emulsifier content (%)					
Total	6.5	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.0
Free	2.9	4.7	5.4	5.4	4.6
Bound	3.6	2.1	1.5	1.6	2.4
Binding rate (%)	55	31	22	23	34

$$\text{Binding Rate} = \frac{\text{Total Emulsifier} - \text{Free Emulsifier}}{\text{Total Emulsifier}} \times 100$$

Example 3

At present, powdery vital gluten is produced mainly by flash drying process, in which wet gluten is first mixed with a large amount of powdery vital gluten, followed by pulverization and drying.

This Example shows a case in which a mixture of wet gluten and dry gluten is used as starting material according to flash drying process.

At first, 3 g of sodium stearyl-lactylate was added to 25.8 ml of water (60°C), giving an aqueous dispersion. After adjusting the pH to 6.2 by addition of 1.2 ml of 2N-NaOH solution, the mixture was stirred at 60°C for 20 minutes, affording 10% hydrate of sodium stearyl-lactylate. Then, 180 g of commercial, dry vital gluten (water content: 7.7%) and 50 g of wet vital gluten (water content: 67%) were thoroughly mixed at 50°C in advance. Then, 30 g of the hydrated sodium stearyl-lactylate prepared above was added, and the mixture was agitated at 50°C into a homogeneous state. The homogeneous mixture (water content: 30.2%) was freeze-dried, and the dried product was crushed to a particle size finer than 42 mesh. To the thus obtained powder were added wet vital gluten and 10% hydrated sodium stearyl-lactylate in a respective amount identified in Table 2, the second mixing. The mixture was treated in the same manner as described above to obtain a powder. Adding vital gluten and 10% hydrated sodium stearyl-lactylate in an amount identified at the

third to fifth mixings, the above-mentioned procedure was repeated further three times, yielding 334 g of dough improver G containing 7.0% sodium stearyl-lactylate (water content: 3.5%). This dough improver contained 6.8% of total emulsifier, 3.3% of free emulsifier, and 3.5% bound emulsifier (emulsifier binding rate: 51%).

Table 2

Number of Mixing	Materials Charged /			Water content at mixing (%)	Yield of mixt. (dough improver) (g)
	Dry gluten (g)	Wet gluten (g)	10% Hydrated Na stearyl-lactylate (g)		
1	180	60	30	30.2	197
2	197	66	32	26.7	225
3	225	75	36	26.6	257
4	257	86	42	26.8	293
5	293	98	48	26.8	334

(Note) As dry gluten, used was commercial vital gluten in the first mixing, and the mixture obtained from the preceding step for the second to the fifth mixing.

Reference Example 1

The effects of dough improvers A, F and G, obtained in Examples 1, 2 and 3 respectively, were compared with those of powder mixtures of vital gluten with emulsifiers. Table 3 lists the test groups, and Table 4 summarizes the properties of final doughs and the quality of final products after two days' storage.

5

10

Test Group	Additiv	Amount added (wt.%) (per total flour)
I	None	-
II	Commercial vital gluten Na Stearoyl-lactylate	2 0.15
III	Dough improver A	2
IV	Commercial vital gluten Fatty acid sugar ester, S-1570	2 0.15
V	Dough improver F	2
VI	Dough improver G	2

Table 4

15

20

25

	Test Group					
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Dough Properties:						
Elasticity	○	○	○~◎	○	◎	○~◎
Extensibility	○	○~◎	◎	△~○	○	◎
Anti-stickiness	△	○	◎	△~○	○	◎
Moldability	△~○	○	◎	○	○~◎	◎
Product Quality:						
Specific volume	4.75	5.12	5.29	5.18	5.32	5.25
Film extension of crumb	△~○	○	◎	○	◎	◎
Crumb grain	○	○	◎	△~○	◎	◎
Flavor	○~◎	○	○~◎	○	○~◎	○~◎
Relative crumb firmness	100	88	83	93	82	80

16

(Note) Evaluation standard (organoleptic valuation by skilled engineers)

⊙ Excellent

○~⊙ Better

○ Slightly better

△~○ Average

△ Slightly poor

5

As is apparent from Table 4, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III, V and VI) show better effects than the other Groups.

10 Reference Example 2

The effects of the dough improvers obtained in Examples 1 and 2 were tested. The results are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

15

Table 5

20

25

Test Group	Additive	Amount added (%) (per total flour)
I	None	-
II	Commercial vital gluten	2
III	Dough improver A	2
IV	Dough improver B	2
V	Dough improver C	2
VI	Dough improver D	2
VII	Dough improver E	2
VIII	Dough improver F	2

Table 6

	Test Group							
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Dough Properties:								
Elasticity	○	⊙	○~⊙	⊙	⊙	○~⊙	○~⊙	⊙
Extensibility	○	△	⊙	○~⊙	○	⊙	○	△~○
Anti-stickiness	△	△~○	⊙	○~⊙	○	⊙	○~⊙	○
Moldability	△~○	○	⊙	○~⊙	○	⊙	○	○
Product Quality:								
Specific volume	4.90	5.15	5.22	5.40	5.46	5.19	5.36	5.55
Film extension of crumb	△~○	△~○	○~⊙	○	⊙	○~⊙	⊙	⊙
Crumb grain	○	△~○	○~⊙	○	⊙	○~⊙	⊙	⊙
Flavor	○~⊙	○	○~⊙	○~⊙	○~⊙	○~⊙	○~⊙	○~⊙
Relative crumb firmness	100	93	78	85	84	88	78	75

(Note) Evaluation standard: Same as in Table 4

18

As is apparent from Table 6, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III to VIII) show better effects than the other groups.

5 Reference Example 3

The effects of the dough improvers obtained in Examples 1 and 3 in cake making were compared with those of powder mixture of commercial vital gluten with sodium stearoyl-lactylate. Table 7 lists the test groups, and
10 Table 8 shows the quality of cakes after one day's storage.

Table 7

Test Group	Additive	Amount added (%) (per total flour)
I	None	—
II	Commercial vital gluten Na stearoyl-lactylate	5 0.375
III	Dough improver A	5
IV	Dough improver G	5

Table 8

0188318

	Test Group			
	I	II	III	IV
5 Product Quality				
Uniformity in shape	△~○	△~○	○	○
Specific volume	3.95	4.09	4.28	4.21
Film extension of crumb	△	△~○	◎	◎
Crumb grain	○~◎	○~◎	◎	◎
10 Texture	△~○	○	◎	◎
Softness { Organoleptic test	△~○	○	◎	◎
Creepmeter (g/cm ²)	15.0	12.0	10.5	9.9

(Note)

- 15 1. Evaluation standard (organoleptic evaluation by skilled engineers)

◎ Excellent

○~◎ Better

○ Slightly better

△~○ Average

△ Slightly poor

2. Creepmeter measurement

20 Samples were cut from the center of each cake to 2 x 2 x 2 cm, and compressed by 3 mm.

As is apparent from Table 8, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III and IV) show better effects than the other Groups in improving the quality of cakes (particularly, crumb grain, texture and softness).

Reference Example 4

0188318

The effects of the dough improvers obtained in Examples 1 and 2 in improving the quality of cakes were tested. The results are shown in Tables 9 and 10.

5

Table 9

Test Group	Additive	Amount added (%) (per total flour)
10	I None	-
	II Commercial vital gluten	5
	III Dough improver A	5
	IV Dough improver B	5
	V Dough improver C	5
15	VI Dough improver D	5
	VII Dough improver E	5
	VIII Dough improver F	5

20

25

Table 10

	Test Group							
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Product Quality:								
Uniformity in shape	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	O	O	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O	$O \sim \odot$
Specific volume	3.92	4.12	4.27	4.22	4.19	4.33	4.20	4.10
Film extension of crumb	Δ	$\Delta \sim O$	\odot	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	O	Δ
Crumb grain	$O \sim \odot$	O	\odot	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O
Texture	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	\odot	O	\odot	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O
Softness	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	\odot	O	\odot	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O
Organoleptic test								
Creepmeter (g/cm ²)	14.9	12.6	10.2	9.0	10.0	11.7	11.5	13.3

(Note) Evaluation standard: Same as in Table 8

As is apparent from ²²Tabl 10, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III to VIII) show better effects of improving the quality of cakes than the other Groups.

5

Reference Example 5

The dough improvers of the present invention obtained in Examples 1 and 2 were compared in dispersibility in water with the corresponding powder mixtures (commercial vital gluten plus emulsifier). The result is summarized in Table 11.

At first, 2 g of sample power and 3 ml of water were placed in a test tube, and the mixture was stirred with glass rod. The condition was observed at one-minute intervals, and dispersibility was evaluated based on the condition after 10 minutes' stirring.

Table 11

Emulsifier	Dispersibility in Water	
	Dough Improver	Powder Mixture
Na Stearoyl-lactylate	⊙	X
Ca Stearoyl-lactylate	○	X
Diacetyl tartaric acid ester of monoglyceride	⊙	X
Succinic acid ester of monoglyceride	⊙	X
Citric acid ester of monoglyceride	○	X
Fatty acid sucrose ester	○	X

(Note) Evaluation standard ²³

- ◎: No coagulation of gluten particles for more than 10 minutes.
- : No coagulation of gluten particles within 3 minutes, but coagulation observed within 10 minutes.
- X : Coagulation starts immediately after addition of water

5
10 As is apparent from Table 11, the dough improvers of the present invention are dispersed in water more readily than the corresponding powder mixtures of commercial vital gluten plus emulsifier.

CLAIMS

1. A dough improver containing a complex of a vital gluten and an emulsifier in which the proportion of emulsifier in the complex to the dough improver is 1 to 20% and that is obtained by mixing a vital gluten with a hydrated emulsifier in such a proportion that the water content in the mixture is in the range 10 to 35%, and allowing the mixture to stand.
2. A dough improver according to Claim 1, in which the emulsifier is an anionic or nonionic emulsifier.
3. A dough improver according to Claim 1, in which the emulsifier is anionic and is calcium stearoyl-lactylate, sodium stearoyl-lactylate, a diacetyltartaric acid monoglyceride ester, a succinic acid monoglyceride ester or a citric acid monoglyceride ester.
4. A dough improver according to Claim 1 in which the emulsifier is nonionic and is a fatty acid ester of sucrose.
5. A method of obtaining a dough improver according to Claim 1 comprising mixing a vital gluten with a hydrated emulsifier in such a proportion that the water content in the mixture is in the range 10 to 35%, and allowing the mixture to stand to produce the desired dough improver.

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) Publication number:

0 188 318 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(43) Date of publication of patent specification: 07.08.91 (51) Int. Cl.⁵: **A21D 2/26, A21D 2/16**

(21) Application number: **86300065.9**

(22) Date of filing: **07.01.86**

(54) **Dough Improver.**

(30) Priority: **12.01.85 JP 3733/85**

(43) Date of publication of application:
23.07.86 Bulletin 86/30

(45) Publication of the grant of the patent:
07.08.91 Bulletin 91/32

(84) Designated Contracting States:
BE DE FR GB NL

(56) References cited:
GB-A- 2 019 188
US-A- 3 362 829
US-A- 3 880 824
US-A- 4 035 519
US-A- 4 200 669

Patent Abstracts of Japan, unexamined applications, field C, vol. 6, no. 186, September 22, 1982 The Patent Office Japanese Government page 162 C 126

(73) Proprietor: **KYOWA HAKKO KOGYO CO., LTD.**
Ohtemachi Bldg., 6-1 Ohtemachi 1-chome
Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100(JP)

(72) Inventor: **Inoue, Seiji**
4845-4, Ami Ami-machi
Inashiki-gun Ibaraki-ken(JP)
Inventor: **Ohta, Shigenori**
3-6-17, Iwatokita
Komae-shi Tokyo(JP)
Inventor: **Egi, Makoto**
3-6-6, Asahi-machi
Machida-shi Tokyo(JP)

(74) Representative: **Lambert, Hugh Richmond et al**
D. YOUNG & CO. 10 Staple Inn
London, WC1V 7RD(GB)

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid (Art. 99(1) European patent convention).

Description

The present invention relates to dough improvers, i.e. compositions for improving the bread-making performance of a flour dough. More particularly, the present invention relates to dough improvers obtained by mixing vital gluten and an emulsifier.

U.S. Patent Specification US-A-3,362,829 discloses that powdered vital gluten, if mixed at 68 to 70 °C with an emulsifier that is in liquid phase, shows excellent dispersibility in water.

Since mixing is conducted in the absence of water in this method, the emulsifier does not complex with gluten protein (U.S. Patent Specification US-A-4,200,569), and hence it would not be expected to substantially improve food quality.

U.S. Patent Specification US-A-3,880,824 discloses that powder of vital gluten, if mixed with an emulsifier in an inert organic solvent, shows excellent dispersibility in water.

Since the emulsifier in this case also does not complex with gluten protein (US-A-4,200,569, above), it would not be expected to substantially improve food quality.

U.S. Patent Specification US-A-4,035,519 discloses that the vital gluten obtained by mixing wet gluten (water content: 45 to 65%) at 45 to 65 °C with an emulsifier that is in liquid phase, followed by drying, disperses in water more readily and shows slightly better bread-quality-improving effect compared with ordinary vital gluten.

The product obtained by this method is no more than emulsified gluten, with no complex being formed between the emulsifier and gluten protein (US-A-4,200,569, above). In addition, since a mass of wet gluten (water content: 45 to 65%) is used as starting material, intimate mixing with an emulsifier is very difficult, requiring, in commercial production, special equipment and huge energy outlays.

Japanese Published Unexamined Patent Application JP-A-102148/82 discloses that powder of vital gluten with good dispersibility in water can be obtained by intimately mixing wet gluten (water content: 50 to 80%) with a phospholipid-containing compound, followed by drying and pulverization.

Although this method is effective in improving the dispersibility of the gluten in water, no marked effect can be expected for improving the food quality, particularly the breadmaking quality.

US-A-4,200,569 (above) discloses that complexes between gluten protein and anionic emulsifiers show excellent film-forming properties and serve as dough improvers in breadmaking. The complexes of this type are obtained by reaction of gliadin (substantially in solution) with an anionic emulsifier, or by mixing wet gluten (water content: 60% or higher) with a hydrated anionic emulsifier under vacuum. This method requires mixing under vacuum and is not advantageous economically. Furthermore, as the specification states, the effective emulsifier is limited only to anionic emulsifiers such as sodium dodecylsulfate, diacetyltartaric acid ester of monoglyceride and palmitoyl-L-aspartic acid ester, and no complex can be formed from the other anionic (e.g., calcium stearyl-lactylate and the succinic acid monoglyceride esters) and nonionic (e.g., fatty acid esters of sucrose, and monoglycerides emulsifier. Sodium dodecylsulfate, which is the most effective emulsifier, is not used in food processing. Hence, there are limitations upon the use to which this patent may be applied on a commercial basis.

According to the present invention there is provided a method for the manufacture of a complex of vital gluten and an emulsifier for use as a dough improver, characterised in that vital gluten, in dry or wet form or a mixture of the two, is mixed with the emulsifier in hydrated form in an amount sufficient to provide from 1-20% by weight of emulsifier, based on the total weight of the dough improver (dry solids basis), thereby to form a mixture containing from 10-35% by weight of water, based on the total weight of the mixture, and that the mixture is allowed to stand.

Commercial dry or wet gluten is used as the vital gluten in the present invention. Anionic and nonionic emulsifiers are used as emulsifiers.

Examples of anionic emulsifiers include calcium stearyl-lactylate, sodium stearyl-lactylate, diacetyltartaric acid monoglyceride esters, succinic acid monoglyceride esters and citric acid monoglyceride esters. Typical examples of the nonionic emulsifiers include fatty acid esters of sucrose. These emulsifiers are used either alone or in combination. The emulsifier is used in the form of a hydrate to accelerate the reaction of the gluten protein with the emulsifier.

A hydrated emulsifier is obtained by adding water to the emulsifier (at 50 - 70 °C) to a concentration of 5 to 20% (W/W) and stirring the mixture at a temperature of 50 - 70 °C while maintaining the pH at 5 to 7. A homogenizer or the like can if necessary be used to

The dough improver of the present invention is preferably obtained as described below by using a hydrated emulsifier prepared as described above.

A 5 to 20% (W/W) of hydrated emulsifier (the percentage represents the concentration of emulsifier in its hydrated form, and this applies throughout the specification and claims) is added to dry vital gluten, or to

a mixture of dry vital gluten with a wet vital gluten, in such a proportion that the water content in the resulting mixture is in the range 10 to 35%, and the mixture is allowed to stand at 20 to 70 °C, preferably 40 to 60 °C, for from 5 to 30 minutes, followed, if necessary, by freeze-drying or flash-drying. The dough improver thus obtained contains 1 to 30%, preferably 5 to 20%, of emulsifier on a dry basis. When the emulsifier concentration is to be further enhanced, this is accomplished by pulverizing a dry product, further adding 5 to 20% hydrated emulsifier to the pulverized product, and treating the mixture in the same manner as above.

The dough improver obtained by the method described above contains a complex of a vital gluten and an emulsifier. The proportion of emulsifier in the complex to the dough improver is 1 to 20%. The dough improver of the present invention is added to dough in an amount of 0.5 to 10% on a dry basis of wheat flour.

The analytical and testing methods used in the present invention are described below.

(1) Analysis of free and bound emulsifier

Lipids are generally classified into two types by the state in which they exist in living bodies: bound lipids, which complex with protein, and free lipids, which do not complex. The latter can be extracted with a non-polar organic solvent, while the former cannot be extracted with a nonpolar organic solvent but can be extracted with a polar organic solvent. In the present invention, the emulsifier which can be extracted from dough improvers with chloroform is defined as "free emulsifier", the emulsifier which can be extracted with chloroform-methanol mixed solvent (2 : 1 by volume) is taken as "total emulsifier", and the amount of bound emulsifier is calculated by the following equation [Y. Pomeranz, "WHEAT; Chemistry and Technology (92nd edition)", p. 393 - 395, published from American Association of Cereal Chemists]:

$$\text{Bound Emulsifier} = \text{Total Emulsifier} - \text{Free Emulsifier}$$

Analytical methods adopted are hereinafter described.

(a) Free emulsifier

A dough improver of the present invention (20.0 g) was accurately weighed and extracted with 200 ml of chloroform at room temperature for 20 minutes with mechanical agitation. The extract was suction-filtered through a filter paper (Toyo Filter Paper No. 50), and the residue was again extracted with 200 ml of chloroform in the same manner as above. The two filtrates were combined and the combined solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dried at 60 to 70 °C until chloroform was substantially removed from the residue. An aliquot sample of chloroform extract was taken and its total nitrogen was measured by the micro Kjeldahl method. The amount of protein contained was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen by the coefficient, 5.7. The amount of free emulsifier was obtained by subtracting the amount of protein from the total amount of extract.

(b) Total emulsifier

Analyzed in the same manner as in (a) above, except that a chloroform-methanol mixed solvent (2 : 1 by volume) was used as solvent in place of chloroform.

(2) Breadmaking test

Sample bread was made by the sponge and dough method, using 2%, based on the weight of wheat flour, of a dough improver of the present invention. The amount of water added to the sponge formulation was 252 ml when no dough improver was used, and 264 ml when the dough improver was used.

The specific volume of raised bread was measured by the rapeseed displacement method, and the crumb grain was evaluated by organoleptic examination. Degree of staleness was measured using a baker's Compressimeter after two days' standing at 25 °C and expressed by relative value, with the value of control sample (no dough improver added) taken as 100.

Sponge Materials

6	{	Hard wheat flour	420 g
		Yeast food (containing ascorbic acid)	0.6 g
10		Compressed baker's yeast	12 g
15		Dough improver of the present invention (on dry basis)	12 g

↓
← Water (252 ml or 264 ml)

20
↓
Mixing (Kanto-mixer Model C-10; hook used)

25
↓
Fermentation (28°C, 4 hours, 85%RH)

← Dough Materials

30	{	Hard wheat flour	180 g
		Sugar	30 g
35		Salt	12 g
40		Shortening	30 g

40
↓
← Water (150 ml)

45
↓
Mixing (Kanto-mixer Model C-10, hook used)

50
↓
Floor Time (28°C, 20 minutes, 85%RH)

55
↓

Dividing (450 g)



Bench Time (Room temperature, 15 minutes)



Moulding and Panning



Proofing (40°C, 85%RH, 1.5 cm above center of pan)



Baking (220°C, 25 minutes)

(3) Cake making test

Sponge cakes were made according to a commonly used recipe (egg : soft wheat flour : sugar = 1 : 1 : 1). The dough improver was added in an amount of 5% based on the weight of flour. The amount of water added was 105 ml when the dough improver was added.

The specific volume of cakes was measured by the rapeseed displacement method. The crumb grain and texture were evaluated by organoleptic examinations. The softness of the crumb was measured after 24 hours' standing at 15 °C by using a creepmeter (Yamaden, Model RE-3305).

Whole egg	300 g
Refined sugar	300 g
Liquid sugar	45 g
Foaming agent	15 g
Salt	1.35 g
Water	105 ml of 120 ml

Mixing (Kanto-mixer Model C-10; wire whipper used)

6	↓	Soft wheat flour	300 g
		Baking powder	6 g
10		Dough improver of this invention (on dry basis)	15 g

Mixing (Kanto-mixer Model C-10, wire-whipper used)

↓
15 Panning (420 g)

↓
20 Baking (180°C, 40 minutes)

Examples and Reference Examples are shown below.

Example 1

25 In this example, 3.75 g of sodium stearoyl-lactylate was added to 32.25 ml of water (60°C) and an aqueous dispersion (pH: 4.6) was obtained. After adjusting the pH to 6.31 by addition of 1.5 ml of 2N-NaOH solution the mixture was stirred at 60°C for 20 minutes to prepare 10% hydrate of sodium stearoyl-lactylate. Then, 37.5 g of the hydrate was put to a mixer bowl containing 150 g of commercial vital gluten (water content: 7.7%) and held at 50°C. The mixture was agitated (Shinagawa Kogyo's Universal Mixer/Agitator Model 5DMr; anchor-shape hook used; 65 rpm x 2 minutes and 126 rpm x 8 minutes) until a homogeneous mixture was obtained (water content: 24.4%). The mixture was freeze-dried, and the dried product was crushed to a particle size finer than 42 mesh. The powder was further added to 37.5 g of 10% hydrated sodium stearoyl-lactylate, and the mixture was freeze-dried and pulverized in the same manner as above.

35 The above-mentioned procedure, addition of 37.5 g of 10% hydrated sodium stearoyl-lactylate, lyophilization and pulverisation, was repeated once more. Thus, a total of 112.5 g of 10% hydrated sodium stearoyl-lactylate was added to yield 154 grams of dough improver A containing 7.0% sodium stearoyl-lactylate 6.7% total emulsifier, 3.5% free emulsifier, and 3.2% bound emulsifier (emulsifier binding rate: 48%).

Example 2

Experiments were conducted in a similar manner to Example 1, except that emulsifiers listed in Table 1 were used.

45 As shown in Table 1, 15% hydrated emulsifier was added in two partitions or portions (water content: 23.4% for the first mixture, and 19.0% for the second), and 7.5% hydrated emulsifier was added in four partitions (water content: 24.9% for the first mixture, and 20.4% for the second to the fourth), each giving a dough improver containing about 7.0% emulsifier. The results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Dough Improver	B	C	D	E	F
Emulsifier	Ca stearyl-lactylate	Diacetyltartaric acid ester of monoglyceride	Succinic acid ester of monoglyceride	Citric acid ester of monoglyceride	Fatty acid sugar ester
Trade Name of Emulsifier	Very Musashino Chemical	Poem W-10 (Riken Vitamin)	Poem B-10 (Riken Vitamin)	Poem K-37 (Riken Vitamin)	S-1570 (Mitsubishi Kasel Food)
Hydrated Emulsifier:					
Composition					
Emulsifier (g)	2.81	5.63	3.75	5.63	2.81
Water (ml)	32.39	31.87	31.75	29.97	34.69
2N-NaOH (ml)	2.3	9.0	2.0	1.9	0
Emulsifier (w/w%)	7.5	15.0	10.0	15.0	7.5
pH before adjustment	4.3	1.6	2.7	2.3	6.2
pH after adjustment	6.0	6.1	6.2	6.1	-
Number of addition	4	2	3	2	4
Vital Gluten (g)	150	150	150	150	150
Dough Improver:					
Yield (g)	149	153	156	153	149
Water content (%)	3.1	4.2	5.4	4.2	2.7
Emulsifier content (%)					
Total	6.5	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.0
Free	2.9	4.7	5.4	5.4	4.6
Bound	3.6	2.1	1.5	1.6	2.4
Binding rate (%)	55	31	22	23	34

$$\text{Binding Rate} = \frac{\text{Total Emulsifier} - \text{Free Emulsifier}}{\text{Total Emulsifier}} \times 100$$

Example 3

At present, powdery vital gluten is produced mainly by flash drying process, in which wet gluten is first mixed with a large amount of powdery vital gluten, followed by pulverization and drying.

This Example shows a case in which a mixture of wet gluten and dry gluten is used as starting material according to flash drying process.

At first, 3 g of sodium stearoyl-lactylat was added to 25.8 ml of water (60° C), giving an aqueous dispersion. After adjusting the pH to 6.2 by addition of 1.2 ml of 2N-NaOH solution, the mixture was stirred at 60° C for 20 minutes, affording 10% hydrate of sodium stearoyl-lactylate. Then, 180 g of commercial, dry vital gluten (water content: 7.7%) and 60 g of wet vital gluten (water content: 67%) were thoroughly mixed at 50° C in advance. Then, 30 g of the hydrated sodium stearoyl-lactylate prepared above was added, and the mixture was agitated at 50° C into a homogeneous state. The homogeneous mixture (water content: 30.2%) was freeze-dried, and the dried product was crushed to a particle size finer than 42 mesh. To the thus obtained powder were added wet vital gluten and 10% hydrated sodium stearoyl-lactylate in a respective amount identified in Table 2, the second mixing. The mixture was treated in the same manner as described above to obtain a powder. Adding vital gluten and 10% hydrated sodium stearoyl-lactylate in an amount identified at the third to fifth mixings, the above-mentioned procedure was repeated further three times, yielding 334 g of dough improver G containing 7.0% sodium stearoyl-lactylate (water content: 3.5%). This dough improver contained 6.8% of total emulsifier, 3.3% of free emulsifier, and 3.5% bound emulsifier (emulsifier binding rate: 51%).

Table 2

Number of Mixing	Materials Charged			Water content at mixing (%)	Yield of mixt. (dough improver) (g)
	Dry gluten (g)	Wet gluten (g)	10% Hydrated Na stearoyl-lactylate (g)		
1	180	60	30	30.2	197
2	197	66	32	26.7	225
3	225	75	36	26.6	257
4	257	86	42	26.8	293
5	293	98	48	26.8	334

(Note) As dry gluten, used was commercial vital gluten in the first mixing, and the mixture obtained from the preceding step for the second to the fifth mixing.

Reference Example 1

The effects of dough improvers A, F and G, obtained in Examples 1, 2 and 3 respectively, were compared with those of powder mixtures of vital gluten with emulsifiers. Table 3 lists the test groups, and Table 4 summarizes the properties of final doughs and the quality of final products after two days' storage.

Table 3

Test Group	Additive	Amount added (wt.%) (per total flour)
I	None	-
II	Commercial vital gluten Na Stearoyl-lactylate	2 0.15
III	Dough improver A	2
IV	Commercial vital gluten Fatty acid sugar ester, S-1570	2 0.15
V	Dough improver F	2
VI	Dough improver G	2

Table 4

	Test Group					
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Dough Properties:						
Elasticity	○	○	○~◎	○	◎	○~◎
Extensibility	○	○~◎	◎	△~○	○	◎
Anti-stickiness	△	○	◎	△~○	○	◎
Moldability	△~○	○	◎	○	○~◎	◎
Product Quality:						
Specific volume	4.75	5.12	5.29	5.18	5.32	5.25
Film extension of crumb	△~○	○	◎	○	◎	◎
Crumb grain	○	○	◎	△~○	◎	◎
Flavor	○~◎	○	○~◎	○	○~◎	○~◎
Relative crumb firmness	100	88	83	93	82	80

(Note) Evaluation standard (organoleptic evaluation by skilled engineers)

⊙ Excellent

○~⊙ Better

○ Slightly better

△~○ Average

△ Slightly poor

As is apparent from Table 4, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III, V and VI) show better effects than the other Groups.

Reference Example 2

The effects of the dough improvers obtained in Examples 1 and 2 were tested. The results are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 5

Test Group	Additive	Amount added (%) (per total flour)
I	None	-
II	Commercial vital gluten	2
III	Dough improver A	2
IV	Dough improver B	2
V	Dough improver C	2
VI	Dough improver D	2
VII	Dough improver E	2
VIII	Dough improver F	2

Table 6

	Test Group							
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Dough Properties:								
Elasticity	O	⊙	O~⊙	⊙	⊙	O~⊙	O~⊙	⊙
Extensibility	O	Δ	⊙	O~⊙	O	⊙	O	Δ~O
Anti-stickiness	Δ	Δ~O	⊙	O~⊙	O	⊙	O~⊙	O
Moldability	Δ~O	O	⊙	O~⊙	O	⊙	O	O
Product Quality:								
Specific volume	4.90	5.15	5.22	5.40	5.46	5.19	5.36	5.55
Film extension of crumb	Δ~O	Δ~O	O~⊙	O	⊙	O~⊙	⊙	⊙
Crumb grain	O	Δ~O	O~⊙	O	⊙	O~⊙	⊙	⊙
Flavor	O~⊙	O	O~⊙	O~⊙	O~⊙	O~⊙	O~⊙	O~⊙
Relative crumb firmness	100	93	78	85	84	88	78	75

(Note) Evaluation standard: Same as in Table 4

As is apparent from Table 6, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III to VIII) show better effects than the other groups.

Reference Example 3

The effects of the dough improvers obtained in Examples 1 and 3 in cake making were compared with those of powder mixture of commercial vital gluten with sodium stearyl-lactylate. Table 7 lists the test groups, and Table 8 shows the quality of cakes after one day's storage.

Table 7

Test Group	Additive	Amount added (%) (per total flour)
I	None	—
II	Commercial vital gluten Na stearyl-lactylate	5 0.375
III	Dough improver A	5
IV	Dough improver G	5

Table 8

	Test Group			
	I	II	III	IV
Product Quality				
Uniformity in shape	△~○	△~○	○	○
Specific volume	3.95	4.09	4.28	4.21
Film extension of crumb	△	△~○	◎	◎
Crumb grain	○~◎	○~◎	◎	◎
Texture	△~○	○	◎	◎
Softness { Organoleptic test	△~○	○	◎	◎
Creepmeter (g/cm ²)	15.0	12.0	10.5	9.9

(Note)

1. Evaluation standard (organoleptic evaluation by skilled engineers)

◎ Excellent

○~◎ Better

○ Slightly better

△~○ Average

△ Slightly poor

2. Creepmeter measurement

Samples were cut from the center of each cake to 2 x 2 x 2 cm, and compressed by 3 mm.

As is apparent from Table 8, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III and IV) show better effects than the other Groups in improving the quality of cakes (particularly, crumb grain, texture and softness).

Reference Example 4

The effects of the dough improvers obtained in Examples 1 and 2 in improving the quality of cakes were tested. The results are shown in Tables 9 and 10.

Table 9

Test Group	Additive	Amount added (%) (per total flour)
I	None	-
II	Commercial vital gluten	5
III	Dough improver A	5
IV	Dough improver B	5
V	Dough improver C	5
VI	Dough improver D	5
VII	Dough improver E	5
VIII	Dough improver F	5

Table 10

	Test Group							
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
Product Quality:								
Uniformity in shape	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	O	O	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O	$O \sim \odot$
Specific volume	3.92	4.12	4.27	4.22	4.19	4.33	4.20	4.10
Film extension of crumb	Δ	$\Delta \sim O$	\odot	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	O	Δ
Crumb grain	$O \sim \odot$	O	\odot	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O
Texture	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	\odot	O	\odot	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O
Softness	$\Delta \sim O$	$\Delta \sim O$	\odot	O	\odot	$O \sim \odot$	$O \sim \odot$	O
Organoleptic test								
Creepmeter (g/cm ²)	14.9	12.6	10.2	9.0	10.0	11.7	11.5	13.3

(Note) Evaluation standard: Same as in Table 8

As is apparent from Table 10, the dough improvers of the present invention (Test Groups III to VIII) show better effects of improving the quality of cakes than the other Groups.

Reference Example 5

The dough improvers of the present invention obtained in Examples 1 and 2 were compared in

dispersibility in water with the corresponding powder mixtures (commercial vital gluten plus emulsifier). The result is summarized in Table II.

At first, 2 g of sample powder and 3 ml of water were placed in a test tube, and the mixture was stirred with glass rod. The condition was observed at one-minute intervals, and dispersibility was evaluated based on the condition after 10 minutes' stirring.

Table 11

Emulsifier	Dispersibility in Water	
	Dough Improver	Powder Mixture
Na Stearoyl-lactylate	⊙	X
Ca Stearoyl-lactylate	○	X
Diacetyltartaric acid ester of monoglyceride	⊙	X
Succinic acid ester of monoglyceride	⊙	X
Citric acid ester of monoglyceride	○	X
Fatty acid sucrose ester	○	X

(Note) Evaluation standard

⊙: No coagulation of gluten particles for more than 10 minutes.

○: No coagulation of gluten particles within 3 minutes, but coagulation observed within 10 minutes.

X: Coagulation starts immediately after addition of water

As is apparent from Table 11, the dough improvers of the present invention are dispersed in water more readily than the corresponding powder mixtures of commercial vital gluten plus emulsifier.

Claims

1. A method for the manufacture of a complex of vital gluten and an emulsifier for use as a dough improver, characterised in that vital gluten, in dry or wet form or a mixture of the two, is mixed with the emulsifier in hydrated form in an amount sufficient to provide from 1-20% by weight of emulsifier, based on the total weight of the dough improver (dry solids basis), thereby to form a mixture containing from 10-35% by weight of water, based on the total weight of the mixture, and that the mixture is allowed to stand.
2. A method according to Claim 1, characterised in that the emulsifier used is an anionic or non-ionic

émulsifiant.

3. A method according to Claim 1, characterised in that the emulsifier is calcium stearoyl-lactylate, sodium stearoyl-lactylate, a diacetyl tartaric acid monoglyceride ester, a succinic acid monoglyceride ester or a citric acid monoglyceride ester.
4. A method according to Claim 1, characterised in that the emulsifier is a fatty acid ester of sucrose.
5. A method according to any one of Claims 1 to 4, characterised in that, after mixing, the mixture is allowed to stand for 5 to 30 minutes at a temperature in the range 20-70 °C.
6. A method according to Claim 5, characterised in that, after mixing, the mixture is allowed to stand for 5 to 30 minutes at a temperature in the range 40-60 °C.
7. A method according to any one of Claims 1 to 6, characterised in that after standing, the mixture is freeze or flash dried.
8. A method according to Claim 7, characterised in that, after drying, the emulsifier content is enhanced by adding further hydrated emulsifier to the dry, pulverised vital gluten/emulsifier complex, said further emulsifier being added in an amount of from 5-20% by weight (dry solids basis) based on the total composition and allowing the mixture comprising said vital gluten/emulsifier complex and said further emulsifier to stand.
9. A method of improving the baking qualities of bread dough which comprises adding a dough improver thereto comprising a complex of vital gluten and an emulsifier, characterised in that the dough improver used is a vital gluten/emulsifier complex obtained by the method claimed in any one of Claims 1 to 8, the vital gluten/emulsifier complex being added in the bread dough in an amount of from 0.5 to 10% by weight based in the weight of flour (dry weight basis).
10. A bread dough obtained by the method of Claim 9, and including baked bread products produced therefrom.

Revendications

1. Procédé de fabrication d'un complexe de gluten élastique et d'émulsifiant, destiné à être utilisé comme améliorant de pâte, **caractérisé** en ce que du gluten élastique, sous forme sèche ou humide ou d'un mélange des deux, est mélangé avec l'émulsifiant sous forme hydratée, en une quantité suffisante pour donner de 1 à 20% en poids d'émulsifiant, par rapport au poids total de l'améliorant de pâte (en matières solides sèches), de façon à former ainsi un mélange contenant de 10 à 35% en poids d'eau, par rapport au poids total du mélange, et en ce qu'on laisse le mélange reposer.
2. Procédé conforme à la revendication 1, **caractérisé** en ce que l'émulsifiant utilisé est un émulsifiant anionique ou non ionique.
3. Procédé conforme à la revendication 1, **caractérisé** en ce que l'émulsifiant est du stéaroyl-lactylate de calcium, du stéaroyl-lactylate de sodium, un diacétyltartrate de monoglycéride, un succinate de monoglycéride ou un citrate de monoglycéride.
4. Procédé conforme à la revendication 1, **caractérisé** en ce que l'émulsifiant est un ester d'acide gras et du saccharose.
5. Procédé conforme à l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 4, **caractérisé** en ce que, après l'opération de mélange, on laisse le mélange reposer pendant 5 à 30 minutes à une température située dans l'intervalle de 20 à 70 °C.
6. Procédé conforme à la revendication 5, **caractérisé** en ce que, après l'opération de mélange, on laisse le mélange reposer pendant 5 à 30 minutes à une température située dans l'intervalle de 40 à 60 °C.

7. Procédé conforme à l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, **caractérisé** en ce que, après le repos, le mélange est séché par lyophilisation ou par séchage éclair.
8. Procédé conforme à la revendication 7, **caractérisé** en ce que, après le séchage, on augmente la teneur en émulsifiant en ajoutant de l'émulsifiant hydraté supplémentaire au complexe sec et pulvérisé d'émulsifiant et de gluten élastique, ledit émulsifiant supplémentaire étant ajouté en une quantité représentant de 5 à 20% en poids (en matières solides sèches) de la composition totale et en laissant reposer le mélange comprenant ledit complexe d'émulsifiant et de gluten élastique et ledit émulsifiant supplémentaire.
9. Procédé d'amélioration des qualités de cuisson d'une pâte à pain, qui comprend l'addition à celle-ci d'un améliorant de pâte comprenant un complexe de gluten élastique et d'un émulsifiant, **caractérisé** en ce que l'améliorant de pâte utilisé est un complexe de gluten élastique et d'émulsifiant obtenu selon le procédé revendiqué dans l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 8, le complexe de gluten élastique et d'émulsifiant étant ajouté dans la pâte à pain en une quantité de 0,5 à 10% en poids par rapport au poids de farine (poids de matières sèches).

10. Pâte à pain obtenue selon le procédé de la revendication 9, y compris les produits de pain cuit obtenus à partir de celle-ci.

Patentansprüche

1. Verfahren zur Herstellung eines Komplexes aus Vital-Gluten mit einem Emulgator zur Verwendung als ein Teigverbesserungsmittel, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß das Vital-Gluten in trockener oder nasser Form oder als eine Mischung aus beiden mit dem Emulgator in wäßriger Form gemischt wird, in einer Menge, die ausreicht, 1 bis 20 Gew.-% des Emulgators bereitzustellen, bezogen auf das Gesamtgewicht des Teigverbesserungsmittels (auf der Basis der trockenen Substanzen), so daß eine Mischung gebildet wird, die von 10 bis 35 Gew.-% Wasser enthält, auf der Basis des Gesamtgewichts der Mischung, und daß die Mischung ruhen kann.
2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß der Emulgator ein anionischer oder nicht-ionischer Emulgator ist.
3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß der Emulgator ein Calciumstearoyl-Lactylat, ein Natriumstearoyl-Lactylat, ein Diacetylweinsäuremonoglyceridester, ein Bernsteinsäuremonoglyceridester oder ein Citronensäuremonoglyceridester ist.
4. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß der Emulgator ein Fettsäureester von Sucrose ist.
5. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 4, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß nach dem Mischen die Mischung bei einer Temperatur im Bereich von 20 bis 70 °C 5 bis 30 Minuten ruht.
6. Verfahren nach Anspruch 5, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß nach dem Mischen die Mischung bei einer Temperatur im Bereich von 40 bis 60 °C 5 bis 30 Minuten ruht.
7. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß nach dem Ruhen die Mischung gefrier- oder schnellgetrocknet wird.
8. Verfahren nach Anspruch 7, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**, daß nach dem Trocknen der Emulgatoranteil verbessert wird durch Zugabe von wäßrigem Emulgator zu dem trockenen, pulverisierten Vital-Gluten/Emulgator-Komplex, wobei dieser zusätzliche Emulgator zugegeben wird in einer Menge von 5 bis 20 Gew.-% (auf der Basis von trockenen Bestandteilen), basierend auf der Gesamtmischung und daß die Mischung mit dem Vital-Gluten/Emulgator-Komplex und dem zusätzlichen Emulgator ruhen kann.
9. Verfahren zum Verbessern der Backeigenschaften von Brotteig durch Zugabe eines Teigverbesserungsmittels, das einen Komplex aus Vital-Gluten und einem Emulgator aufweist, **dadurch gekennzeichnet**

zeichnet, daß das verwendete Teigverbesserungsmittel ein Vital-Gluten/Emulgator-Komplex ist, der erhalten wird nach dem Verfahren gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 8, wobei der Vital-Gluten-Emulgator-Komplex dem Brotteig zugegeben wird in einer Menge von 0,5 bis 10 Gew.-% auf der Basis des Mehlgewichts (Trockengewichtsbasis).

5

10. Brotteig erhältlich nach dem Verfahren nach Anspruch 9 und daraus hergestellte gebackene Brotprodukte.

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55